

1. Explain the following notions and theorems (30 points):
 - a. Decidable set/enumerable set/effectively enumerable set
 - b. The Continuum Hypothesis/The Generalized Continuum Hypothesis
 - c. Semantical consequence/ syntactical consequence/logical truth/theorem
 - d. The Compactness Theorem

2. Multiple choices (each question might have more than one "correct" choice) (30 points):
 - a. () An open sentence ((1) may be satisfied by all sequences of objects; (2) may be satisfied by no sequence of objects; (3) may be satisfied by some sequences of objects yet not satisfied by others .)
 - b. () A closed sentence ((1) may be satisfied by all sequences of objects; (2) may be satisfied by no sequence of objects; (3) may be satisfied by some sequences of objects yet not satisfied by others .)
 - c. () The set of natural numbers is a proper subset of the set of rational numbers. ((1) Therefore, there isn't; (2) Still, there is) a 1-1 onto mapping from the former to the latter.
 - d. () Which of the following sets of connectives is truth-functionally complete: ((1) $\{\sim, \vee\}$; (2) $\{\sim, \wedge\}$; (3) $\{\sim, \supset\}$; (4) $\{\sim, \equiv\}$; (5) $\{\supset, \equiv\}$) .
 - e. () Which of the following statements is true: ((1) The union of a denumerable set and a finite set is denumerable; (2) The union of a countable set and a countable set is countable; (3) There are uncountably many truths of arithmetic.)
 - f. () Which of the following statements is true: ((1) $\aleph_0 + \aleph_0 = \aleph_0$; (2) $\aleph_0 \times \aleph_0 > \aleph_0$; (3) $2^{\aleph_0} = \mathfrak{c}$; (4) $\aleph_0 \times \mathfrak{c} > \mathfrak{c}$; (5) $\aleph_0^n > \aleph_0$) .
 - g. () Let $I(G) = \{ \langle x, y \rangle \mid x \in \mathbb{N} \text{ and } y \in \mathbb{N} \ \& \ x \geq y \}$, then the sequence $\langle 5, 10, 15, 5, 10, 15, \dots \rangle$ satisfies ((1) $(x) Gxx$; (2) $(x) Gxy$; (3) $(x)(y)Gxy$; (4) $(\exists x)Gxy$) .
 - h. () Although first-order logic is undecidable, there is an effective positive test for ((1) satisfiability; (2) unsatisfiability; (3) validity) .
 - i. () If a first-order wff is satisfiable, it is satisfied in an ((1) enumerable; (2) arbitrary infinite; (3) finite) domain.
 - j. () Which of the following are not decidable? ((1) sentential logic; (2) monadic predicate logic; (3) first-order logic; (4) arithmetic) .

3. Specify a separate model for each of the following items showing that (20 points):
 - a. " $(x)(y)(z) \{ [(Rxy \ \& \ Ryz) \supset Rxz] \ \& \ \sim Rxx \} \ \& \ \sim (y)(\exists x)Rxy$ " is satisfiable in a model with finite domain.
 - b. It is false that $(x)(Fx \supset (\exists y)(Gy \ \& \ Rxy)) \models (\exists y)(Gy \ \& \ (x)(Fx \supset Rxy))$.

4. Choose one of the followings (20 points):

- a. Prove Lindenbaum's lemma for first-order logic: If K is a consistent first-order theory, then there is a first order theory K' that is a maximal consistent extension of K with the same formulas as K .
- b. Let ϕ be any wff, x and y any variables. $\langle D, V \rangle$ any model, and μ any value assignment to the variables. Then, where σ is just like μ except that $\sigma(x) = \mu(y)$, prove that $V_\sigma(\phi) = V_\mu(\phi[y/x])$. ($\phi[y/x]$ differs from ϕ only in that wherever ϕ has free variable x , $\phi[y/x]$ has variable y which is free in $\phi[y/x]$ but not in ϕ).
- c. Prove: (a) $\Gamma \cup \{\sim\phi\}$ is first-order (syntactically) inconsistent iff $\Gamma \vdash_{\text{FOL}} \phi$; (b) $\Gamma \cup \{\phi\}$ is first-order (syntactically) inconsistent iff $\Gamma \vdash_{\text{FOL}} \sim\phi$.
- d. Prove that the set of all subsets of natural numbers is not enumerable.